arXiv:1108.1888v1 [math.DG] 9 Aug 2011

3-MANIFOLDS WITH NONNEGATIVE RICCI CURVATURE

GANG LIU

Abstract. For a noncompact 3-manifold with nonnegative Ricci curvature, we prove that either it is diffeomorphic to $\mathbb{R}^3$ or the universal cover splits.

In late 1970s, Schoen and Yau initiated the program of using minimal surfaces to study 3-manifolds. In [6], they classified noncompact 3-manifolds with positive Ricci curvature. They also announced the general result when the Ricci curvature is nonnegative. Later Anderson and Rodriguez [1] and Shi [5] classified these manifolds assuming the upper bound of the sectional curvature.

In this note we classify 3-manifolds with nonnegative Ricci curvature in full generality. In view of Hamilton's classification of the compact case [4], we just need to handle the noncompact case. Our method follows from Schoen and Yau's framework [6]. We are going to prove the theorem as follows:

Theorem. *Let M^3 be a complete noncompact 3-manifold with nonnegative Ricci curvature, then either M^3 is diffeomorphic to $\mathbb{R}^3$ or the universal cover of M^3 is isometric to a Riemann product $N^2 \times \mathbb{R}$ where N^2 is a complete 2-manifold with nonnegative sectional curvature.*

Proof. We assume M is not flat, otherwise the conclusion is obvious.

Let us review Schoen and Yau's argument in [6]. Assume M is simply connected, if $\pi_2(M) \neq 0$, according to Lemma 2 in [6], M must have at least two ends. From Cheeger-Gromoll splitting theorem [2], the universal cover splits. So we assume $\pi_2(M) = 0$. Therefore, the universal cover of M is contractible. If M is not simply connected, Schoen and Yau [6] proved that $\pi_1(M)$ must have no torsion elements. Thus, after replacing M by a suitable normal covering, we may assume that $\pi_1(M) = \mathbb{Z}$ and that M is orientable. Let γ be a Jordan curve representing the generator of the fundamental group of M. Consider an exaustion of M by Ω_i, where $\partial\Omega_i$ is a disjoint union of smooth 2-manifolds. We may assume that γ lies in each Ω_i. By Poincare duality for manifolds with boundary, there exists a oriented surface $\Sigma_i \subset \Omega_i$ such that $\partial\Sigma_i \subset \partial\Omega_i$, moreover, the oriented intersection number of Σ_i with γ is 1. We would like to minimize the area among all surfaces which are in the same homology class as Σ_i and with the same boundary as Σ_i. We can perturb the metric near $\partial\Omega_i$ such that the mean curvature is positive with respect to the outer normal vector. So there exists a minimizing surface for each i, which we still call Σ_i. For each i, the intersection number of Σ_i with γ is 1. Therefore, a subsequence of Σ_i converges to an oriented stable minimal surface Σ in M. In [6] Schoen and Yau proved that Σ must be totally geodesic and the Ricci curvature along the normal direction must vanish identically. If the Ricci curvature is strictly positive, then this is a contradiction.

Let us deal with the case when the Ricci curvature is nonnegative. For a fixed point $p \in M$, we may assume that p does not lie on γ, otherwise we perturb γ a little bit such that it does not pass through p. According to [3], we can perturb the metric such that the Ricci curvature is strictly positive in a small annulus around p, while the metric remains the same outside the annulus(this means that inside the ball bounded by the annulus, the Ricci curvature might be negative). For reader's convenience, we give the details as follows: According to the well-known formula, if $g(t) = e^{2tf}g_0$, then

$$Ric^t(v,v) = e^{-2tf}(Ric(v,v) - t(n-2)\nabla^2 f(v,v) - t\Delta f + t^2(n-2)(v(f)^2 - |\nabla f|^2))$$

where $n = dim(M) = 3$. Define r to be the distance function to p. For a very small $R > 0$, consider the function $\rho = R - r$ for $\frac{R}{2} < r < R$. Then we extend ρ to be a positive smooth function for $0 \leq r < \frac{R}{2}$. Define $f = -\rho^5$, for $|v| = 1$,

$$Ric^t(v,v) = e^{2t\rho^5}(Ric(v,v)+t(n-2)\nabla^2(\rho^5)(v,v)+t\Delta(\rho^5)-t^2(n-2)(v(\rho^5)^2-|\nabla\rho^5|^2)).$$

Now $\nabla^2(\rho^5)(v,v) = 20\rho^3 v(\rho)^2 + 5\rho^4\nabla^2(\rho)(v,v)$, therefore,

$$Ric^t(v,v) \geq e^{2t\rho^5}(Ric(v,v)+20t\rho^3+5t\rho^4(\Delta\rho+(n-2)\nabla^2(\rho)(v,v))-25(n-2)t^2\rho^8). \quad (1)$$

From now on, we restrict r such that $\lambda R < r < R$, where $\lambda > \frac{1}{2}$ is to be determined. Using the fact that near p, the manifold is almost Euclidean, for small R, we have

$$|\Delta\rho + (n-2)\nabla^2\rho(v,v)| \leq \frac{9(2n-3)}{8(R-\rho)}.$$

We plug this in (1). So for all small t, $g(t)$ have strictly positive Ricci curvature in an annulus $B_p(R)\backslash B_p(\lambda R)$ for $\lambda = \frac{7}{8}$. The metric remains the same outside $B_p(R)$. The deformation is C^4 continuous with respect to the metric and C^∞ with respect to t.

We apply this perturbation finitely many times so that the Ricci curvature is positive on γ(each time we perturb the metric a little bit around a point) and that the Ricci curvature is nonnegative except a small neighborhood of p. Then we can minimize the area which is the same as before. This will yield a complete stable minimal surface Σ. Now the claim is that Σ must pass through the small neighborhood of p. If this is not true, then on Σ, the Ricci curvature is nonnegative, the normal Ricci curvature is strictly positive somewhere on γ. This contradicts the result in [6].

Using t to denote the deformation parameter, we shrink the size the neighborhood of p where the Ricci curvature might be negative. So we get a sequence of metrics on M and for each metric, a stable minimal surface passing through a small neighborhood of p. We may let $t \to 0$ sufficiently fast so that these metrics are converging to the original metric in C^4 sense. Taking the limit for a subsequence of these complete minimal surfaces(area-minimizing), we obtain a complete oriented stable minimal surface passing through p, with the original metric. According to the result in [6], this surface is totally geodesic with vanishing normal Ricci curvature.

Since the manifold is not flat, there exists a neighborhood U, such that the scalar curvature is strictly positive in U. Consider a point $p \in U$ and a sequence of points $p_i \to p$, where all $p_i \in U$. Through each p_i, there exists a complete totally geodesic surface H_i. So there is a subsequence of H_i converging to a complete totally geodesic surface H through p. We assume that the normal vector of H_i at p_i converges to the normal vector of H at p. We can choose p_j so that for any $j > i$, p_j does not lie on H_i. Therefore, for all large i, H_i does not coincide with H.

By the assumption of U, H_i and H are not flat. They have nonnegative sectional curvature. The normal bundle is trivial. We denote the unit normal vector of H by N. For any $x \in H$, when k is very large, we construct a piece $\Sigma_k \in H_k$ as follows: Consider a shortest geodesic on H connecting p and x, we assume $x = exp_p(v)$ where $v \in T_pH$. If the geodesic is not unique, then we just choose one. We parallel transport the vector v along the shortest geodesic connecting p and p_k to obtain a tangent vector u_k at p_k. Then we project u_k to $T_{p_k}(H_k)$ to get $v_k \in T_{p_k}(H_k)$. Define a point $x_k = exp_{p_k} v_k$. Of course, since we may have multiple choices of v, x_k may be different. However, when k is very large, these x_k should be very close to x, since $p_k \to p$ and the normal vector of H_k at p_k is converging to the normal vector of H at p. Let $r = \frac{1}{10} inj_M(x)$ where $inj_M(x)$ denotes the injective radius of M at x, we define $\Sigma_k = B_{H_k}(x_k, r)$. From the construction of x_k, for k large, the normal vector of H at x and the normal vector of H_k at x_k are very close in the obvious sense, since the normal vectors of H and H_k are parallel along each surfaces. Since x_k is very close to x, $inj_M(x_k) \geq \frac{1}{2} inj_M(x) \geq r$. Therefore $dist_M(\partial B_{H_k}(x_k, r), x) \geq r - dist_M(x_k, x) > 5 dist_M(x, x_k)$ for k large. Thus if l is the normalized shortest geodesic connecting x and Σ_k, l will intersect the inner part of Σ_k, say at the point $\overline{x}_k$. Triangle inequality implies that $dis_{H_k}(x_k, \overline{x}_k) \leq 2 dis_M(x, x_k)$. Therefore, the unit normal vector of H at x and the unit normal vector of H_k at $\overline{x}_k$ are close in the obvious sense.

Denote the initial tangent vector of l at x by e. The oriented distance is defined by $d_k(x) = dist_M(x, \Sigma_k) Sign(\langle e, N \rangle)$ for $x \in H$. The function $Sign(t) = 1$ when $t > 0$; $Sign(t) = -1$ when $t < 0$; $Sign(t) = 0$ when $t = 0$. For any $x \in H$, $d_k(x)$ is well defined and smooth for k sufficiently large. Via the second variation of arc length, there is a nice pinching estimate for the Hessian of $d_k(x)$ when $d_k(x)$ is very small, namely,

$$-d_k(x)(R_{NijN} + Sign(d_k(x))\epsilon(k,x)) \leq (d_k(x))_{ij} \leq -d_k(x)(R_{NijN} - Sign(d_k(x))\epsilon(k,x))$$

where $\lim_{k\to\infty} \epsilon(k,x) = 0$. The convergence is uniform for any compact set of H. In the above estimate, we have used the fact that for k large, the normal direction of H_k at $\overline{x}_k$ and the normal direction of H at x are close in the obvious sense. Since d_k does not vanish identically, after a suitable rescaling, a subsequence converges to a nonzero function f when $k \to \infty$. Then f satisfies

$$f_{ij} + f R_{NijN} = 0 \tag{2}$$

where f_{ij} is the Hessian of f on H with the induced metric. Moreover, $\Delta f = 0$ since the normal Ricci curvature vanishes identically.

Remark. *In fact, the vector field fN is the "variational" vector field for these totally geodesic surfaces. However, we can not define it directly, since we only have a sequence, not a smooth family of surfaces. So we use the rescaled distance function to approximate the variational vector field. Moreover, if the surfaces H_k and H are properly embedded, then we can simply define $d_k(x) = dist_M(x, H_k)Sign(\langle e, N\rangle)$. We define the function $d_k(x)$ as in last paragraph because in the final part of the paper(when we try to show that M is simply connected at infinity), we obtain stable minimal surfaces which could be immersed.*

Lemma. *$f \equiv Constant$.*

Proof. First, H is conformal to $\mathbb{C}$, since it is not flat and the Gaussian curvature is nonnegative. We may assume f changes sign, otherwise from the Liouville property for positive harmonic functions on H, f is constant. We observe that the vanishing points of f consists of the geodesics on H, since ∇f is parallel along the vanishing points of f(the hessian of f vanishes when f vanishes, see (2)). Moreover, these geodesics do not intersect, otherwise $\nabla f = 0$ along one geodesic. Combining this with (2), we find $f \equiv 0$. This is a contradiction.

Now suppose the zero set of f contains at least 2 distinct geodesics. Let us call them L_1, L_2. We claim that L_1, L_2 are proper on H. The reason is this: we can write f as the real part of a holomorphic function $h = f + ig$, since f is harmonic. By Cauchy-Riemann relation, along the vanishing set of f, g is strictly monotonic, $|\nabla g|$ is constant along L_1 and L_2(since $|\nabla f|$ is constant on each of these two geodesics). But in a compact set of H, $|h|$ is bounded, therefore, L_1, L_2 are properly embedded on H. Consider the function $d(x) = dist_H(x, L_2)$ for $x \in L_1$. From the Hessian comparison, we can show that $d'' \leq 0$. Since L_1 and L_2 never intersect, $d(x) \equiv d_0$. Using the Hessian comparison again, via the exponential map, one can find an isometry from the region bounded by L_1 and L_2 to the strip $B = \{z \in \mathbb{C} | 0 \leq Imz \leq d_0\}$ with the standard metric. Now since isometric maps are conformal, f is a harmonic function on B. The metric is flat on B, therefore the scalar curvature of the ambient space vanishes on the region bounded by L_1 and L_2 on H. Considering (2), we find that f is linear on B. However, the vanishing points of f has two components, this is a contradiction.

Therefore the vanishing points of f consists of one geodesic. Using the monotonicity of g, we know that for any $t \in \mathbb{R}$, there exists at most one solution to the equation $h(z) = (0, t) \in \mathbb{C}$. By Picard theorem for entire functions, h is a linear function. Thus f is linear on $\mathbb{C}$. After some conformal transformation, we may assume $f = x$ on the complex plane. Suppose the metric on H is given by $ds^2 = e^{2\rho}(dx^2 + dy^2)$ using $\mathbb{C}$ as a coordinate.

Let $e_1 = \frac{\partial}{\partial x}, e_2 = \frac{\partial}{\partial y}$, then

$$\langle \nabla_{e_1} e_1, e_1 \rangle = e^{2\rho}\rho_1, \langle \nabla_{e_1} e_1, e_2 \rangle = -\langle \nabla_{e_2} e_1, e_1 \rangle = -e^{2\rho}\rho_2.$$

Therefore

$$\nabla_{e_1} e_1 = \rho_1 e_1 - \rho_2 e_2.$$

Similarly

$$\nabla_{e_1}e_2 = \nabla_{e_2}e_1 = \rho_2 e_1 + \rho_1 e_2, \nabla_{e_2}e_2 = \rho_2 e_2 - \rho_1 e_1.$$

So the Hessian of f is given by

$$f_{11} = 0 - (\nabla_{e_1}e_1)f = -\rho_1, f_{12} = 0 - (\nabla_{e_1}e_2)f = -\rho_2, f_{22} = 0 - (\nabla_{e_2}e_2)f = \rho_1.$$

Let us write (2) as $f_{ij} + f\tau_{ij} = 0$. Therefore, the norm of the tensor τ is

$$|\tau_{ij}| = \frac{\sqrt{2}|\nabla_E \rho|}{|x|e^{2\rho}}$$

(here ∇_E, Δ_E denotes the gradient and the Laplacian with respect to the standard metric on $\mathbb{C}$). Since the Ricci curvature of the ambient manifold is nonnegative and that the normal Ricci curvature vanishes, $|\tau_{ij}| \le \sqrt{2}K$ where K is the Gaussian curvature on the surface. The Gaussian curvature is given by $K = -\frac{\Delta_E \rho}{e^{2\rho}}$. Therefore

$$\frac{|\nabla_E \rho|}{|x|} \le -\Delta_E \rho.$$

Let $h = -\rho$, so

$$\Delta_E h \ge \frac{|\nabla_E h|}{|x|} \ge \frac{|\nabla_E h|}{r}$$

where $r^2 = x^2 + y^2$. By Cohn-Vossen inequality, $\int K ds^2 \le 2\pi$. Therefore,

$$\int \frac{|\nabla_E h|}{|x|} dxdy \le \int \Delta_E h dxdy < \infty.$$

Define

$$g(r) = \int_{B(r)} \frac{|\nabla_E h|}{r} dxdy$$

where $B(r)$ is the Euclidean disk centered at the origin with radius r. We have

$$r\int_{\partial B(r)} \frac{|\nabla_E h|}{r} dl \ge \int_{B(r)} \Delta_E h dxdy \ge \int_{B(r)} \frac{|\nabla_E h|}{r} dxdy.$$

That is to say,

$$rg' \ge g.$$

Solving this inequality, combining with the condition that g is bounded, we find that

$$g \equiv 0.$$

Therefore H is flat. But this contradicts the assumption that H is not flat. Thus the lemma is proved.

□

We plug this result in (2). It turns out that $R_{iNNj} = 0$ on H. So in fact the rank of the Ricci curvature is 2 at p. This means that for each point close to p, there is a unique totally geodesic surface. From linear algebra, we can show that these surfaces vary smoothly. By the calculus of variation, the variational vector field of these surfaces satisfies (2). Again from the Lemma, the unit normal vector of these surfaces is parallel. Now we use an induction argument to see that the universal cover of the ambient manifold splits.

Now assume that M is contractible. To prove that M is diffeomorphic to $\mathbb{R}^3$, from a topological result, it suffices to prove that M is simply connected at infinity and irreducible. Suppose M is not simply connected at infinity, this means that there exists a sequence of closed curves σ_i tending to infinity such that for any immersed disk D_i with $\partial D_i = \sigma_i$, $D_i \cap K \neq \Phi$ where K is a fixed compact set of M. We may assume these disks are area minimizing, by the compactness and regularity result in Theorem 3 of [7], a subsequence of D_i converge to a complete stable minimal surface.

Now we can proceed the perturbation argument as before to see that M splits, which contradicts that M is not simply connected at infinity.

To prove that M is reducible, we can invoke the solution of Poincare conjecture. Therefore M is diffeomorphic to $\mathbb{R}^3$. □

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author would like to express his deep gratitude to his advisor, Professor Jiaping Wang, for his kind help and useful suggestions. He also thanks Professor Shing-Tung Yau for his interest in this note.

REFERENCES

[1] M. Anderson and L. Rodriguez, *Minimal surfaces and 3-manifolds with nonnegative Ricci curvature*, Math. Ann. 284, 461-475(1989).
[2] J. Cheeger and D. Gromoll, *The splitting theorem for manifolds of nonnegative Ricci curvature*, J. Diff. Geom. 6, 119-128(1971).
[3] P. Ehrlich, *Metric deformation of curvature*, Geometriae Dedicata 5 (1976), no. 1, 1-23.
[4] R. Hamilton, *Four-manifolds with positive curvature operator*, J. Diff. Geom. 24, 153-179(1986).
[5] W. X. Shi, *Complete noncompact three-manifolds with nonnegative Ricci curvature*, J. Diff. Geom. 29 (1989), no. 2, 353-360
[6] R. Schoen and S. T. Yau, *Complete three-dimensional manifolds with positive Ricci curvature and scalar curvature*, Seminar on Differential Geometry, pp. 209-228, Ann. of Math. Stud., 102, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, N.J., 1982.
[7] R. Schoen, *Estimates for stable minimal surfaces in three-dimensional manifolds*, Seminar on minimal submanifolds, 111-126, Ann. of Math. Stud., 103, 1983.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF MINNESOTA, MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55455
E-mail address: `liuxx895@math.umn.edu`